

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

The Examiner has objected to the drawings as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.83(a) indicating that the seat cushion must be shown. Applicant has amended Figure 2 by including the schematic outline of both the seat cushion assembly and seat back assembly as is commonly known in the art. Applicant submits that no new matter has been entered.

Attachment: 1 replacement sheet of drawings – Figure 2

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 4-20 remain in the application. Claims 1 and 4 have been amended and claims 2-3 have been cancelled.

First, the drawings stand objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failing to show a seat cushion as described in the specification. In response, Applicant submits herewith an amended drawing sheet of Figure 2 showing the seat cushion assembly, which includes the encased frame rail referenced by the Examiner. Applicant submits that no new matter has been entered as the seat cushion is commonly known to one skilled in the art, the reference to seat cushion in the specification was clearly broad and generic, and the seat cushion assembly is clearly supported by the drawings and specification of the application as well and the priority document U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/352,918.

Second, claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 63-305045. However, the Examiner has indicated that claims 3-20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include all of the limitations of claim 2 and allowable claim 3. Additionally, claim 4 has been amended to properly depend from claim 1.

Accordingly, it is believed that the application is in condition for immediate allowance and Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Appl'n No: 10/501,942
Amd't dated December 23, 2005
Reply to Office action dated July 26, 2005

Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the response to this Office Action, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney for the applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Robin W. Asher', written over a horizontal line.

Robin W. Asher, Reg. No. 41,590
Clark Hill PLC
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500
Detroit, MI 48226-3435
(313) 965-8665

Date: 12/23/05
Attorney Docket No: 19365-098376